

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,664	12/31/2003	Nicole L. Blankenbeckler	HT3825USNA	9736
23906	7590 09/27/2006		EXAM	INER
	NT DE NEMOURS AN	DICUS, TAMRA		
BARLEY MILL PLAZA 25/1128			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
4417 LANCASTER PIKE			1774	
WILMINGTON, DE 19805			DATE MAILED: 09/27/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/749,664	BLANKENBECKLER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tamra L. Dicus	1774			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versilure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron , cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ju	ily 2006.				
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 16-19 and 24-34 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 16-19 and 24-34 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11).	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date See Continuation Sheet.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application			
PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Office Ac	tion Summary Pa	art of Paper No./Mail Date 20060920			

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :04-21-06, 12-01-04, 07-29-04, 06-14-04.

DETAILED ACTION

The Examiner acknowledges cancellation of claims 1-15, and 20-23. Upon further consideration, the Election of Species between pizza and lasagna is hereby withdrawn and claims 19 and 31 will be treated on the merits with the rest of the claims examined below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 16, 24, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claims 16 and 24 recite a first area in comparison to a first area (see last 3 sentences of claims 16 and 24), which is indefinite because if there is a comparison, there must be a difference, not referencing the same areas.
- 4. Further to claims 16, 24, and 29, a susceptor material is present in two distinct areas in lines 2-5 of claim 16 and lines 3-6 of claims 24 and 29, but another/same susceptor material is referenced later on as being completely covered. It is not clear if this later reference susceptor material is the same as the one present in the first and second areas or if it is additional and different material, if so, further comprising language should be used and the later susceptor material should be distinguished from the earlier mentioned one.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,664 Page 3

Art Unit: 1774

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 6. Claims 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by USPN Re. 34,683 to Maynard et al.
- 7. Maynard teaches a method of heating a food product comprising subjecting the food product to microwave energy where food is supported by susceptor materials (2:55-68, 3:1-20, Abstract, activating and inactivation chemical) present in two distinct areas, a first area (40, FIG. 3 and associated text) having a greater energy conversion and second area (42, FIG. 3, and associated text) having a lower energy conversion where line of demarcations are present as claimed (7:10-30, concentric pattern). The areas are on susceptor material (tray blank, 39, FIG. 3 and associated text). Food is on the susceptor material (88, FIG. 4 and associated text, pastry shell). The food is browned and crisped.

Claims 16-17 are met.

- 8. Claims 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN Re. 34,683 to Maynard et al. in view of USPN 6,559,431 to Hopkins.
- 9. Maynard teaches a method of heating a food product comprising subjecting the food product to microwave energy where food is supported by susceptor materials (2:55-68, 3:1-20,

Application/Control Number: 10/749,664

Page 4

Art Unit: 1774

Abstract, activating and inactivation chemical) present in two distinct areas, a first area (40, FIG. 3 and associated text) having a greater energy conversion and second area (42, FIG. 3, and associated text) having a lower energy conversion where line of demarcations are present as claimed (7:10-30, concentric pattern). The areas are on susceptor material (tray blank, 39, FIG. 3 and associated text). Food is on the susceptor material (88, FIG. 4 and associated text, pastry shell). The food is browned and crisped.

- 10. Maynard does not teach applying a wrapping on the food as claimed per instant claim 24.
- 11. Hopkins teaches a microwave tray where a tray and food thereon has a food grade film wrapping covering the tray and food therein that keeps the food in an air-tight container until the seal is manually removed by a consumer or hermetic seal is broken when the food in the assembly is cooked 4: 27-43) \$89/21/06
- 12. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have modified the method of Maynard to include applying wrapping on the food provided by Hopkins as it serves to keep the food in an air-tight container for cooking when desired (4:27-43).
- 13. Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN Re. 34,683 to Maynard et al. in view of USPN 6,559,431 to Hopkins.
- 14. Maynard teaches a method of heating a food product comprising subjecting the food product to microwave energy where food is supported by susceptor materials (2:55-68, 3:1-20, Abstract, activating and inactivation chemical) present in two distinct areas, a first area (40, FIG. 3 and associated text) having a greater energy conversion and second area (42, FIG. 3, and associated text) having a lower energy conversion where line of demarcations are present as

Application/Control Number: 10/749,664 Page 5

Art Unit: 1774

claimed (7:10-30, concentric pattern). The areas are on susceptor material (tray blank, 39, FIG. 3 and associated text). Food is on the susceptor material (88, FIG. 4 and associated text, pastry shell). The food is browned and crisped.

- 15. Maynard does not teach applying a covering to surround the food product as claimed per instant claim 29.
- 16. Hopkins teaches a microwave tray where a tray and food thereon has a food grade film wrapping covering the tray and food therein that keeps the food in an air-tight container until the seal is manually removed by a consumer or hermetic seal is broken when the food in the assembly is cooked.
- 17. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have modified the method of Maynard to include applying a covering surrounding the food provided by Hopkins as it serves to keep the food in an air-tight container for cooking when desired (4:27-43).
- 18. Claims 18-19, 27-28, and 30-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN Re. 34,683 to Maynard et al. alone or optionally further in view of Hopkins as applied above.
- 19. Maynard and the combination is relied upon above.
- 20. Maynard does not teach a food product of pizza or lasagna per instant claims 18-19, 27-28, and 30-34, while Maynard teaches browning and crisping the food is desired further teaching any variety of food products may be used (3:20). The overall purpose of the susceptor is to brown the food surface.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,664

Art Unit: 1774

21. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have modified Maynard or the combination to crisp food products including pizza and lasagna in order to brown a food surface.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tamra L. Dicus whose telephone number is 571-272-1519. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:00-4:30 p.m., alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on 571-272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) 9571-272-1000.

Famra L. Dicus Examiner

Art Unit 1774

September 21, 2006

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

A.U.1714 9(216)

Page 6